# SEMIPRIME RING WITH SYMMETRIC SKEW 3-REVERSE DERIVATION

## Dr. C. Jaya Subba Reddy

Department of Mathematics, S.V.University, Tirupati-517502, AndhraPradesh,India. cjsreddysvu@gmail.com

#### V.Vijay kumar

Department of Mathematics, S.V.University, Tirupati-517502, AndhraPradesh,India. Email: maslina@ukm.edu.my

#### S.MallikarjunaRao

Department of Mathematics, S.V.University, Tirupati-517502, AndhraPradesh,India. s.mallikarjunarao123@gmail.com

### Article Info

#### Article history:

Received Aug. 8<sup>th</sup>, 2015 Revised Sept. 20<sup>th</sup>, 2015 Accepted Oct. 5<sup>th</sup>, 2015

#### Keyword:

Semi prime ring, Reverse Derivation, Symmetric Skew 3- derivation, Symmetric Skew 3reverse derivation andAnti automorphism.

#### ABSTRACT

In this paper we introduce the notion of symmetric skew 3- reverse derivation of semi prime ring and we consider R be a non commutative 3-torsion free semi prime ring I be a non zero two sided ideal of  $R, \alpha$  be an anti automorphism of R, and  $D:R \times R \times R \to R$  be a symmetric skew 3-reverse derivation associated with the anti automorphism $\alpha$ , suppose that  $[\tau(y), \alpha(y)] \in Z$  for all  $y \in I$ , then  $[\tau(y), \alpha(y)] = 0$  for all  $y \in I$ .

> Copyright © 2015 Transactions on Mathematics All rights reserved.

*Corresponding Author:* **Dr. C. Jaya Subba Reddy** Department of Mathematics, S.V.University, Tirupati-517502, AndhraPradesh,India. Email: cjsreddysvu@gmail.com

## 1. Introduction

Bresar and Vukman[2] have introduced the notion of a reverse derivations and Samman and Alyamani[5] have studied some properties of semi prime rings with reverse derivations. AjadaFosner[1] have introduced the notation of symmetric skew 3- derivation of prime or semi prime rings and proved that under certain conditions a prime ring with a nonzero symmetric skew 3-derivation has to be commutative. The study of centralizing and commuting mappings on prime rings was initiated by the result of Posner [4] which states that the existence of a nonzero centralizing derivation on a prime ring implies that the ring has to be commutative. Vukman [6,7] investigated symmetric bi derivation on prime and semi prime rings in connection with centralizing mappings. C.JayaSubba Reddy[3] have studied prime ring with symmetric skew 3-reverse derivation. In this paper we proved that under certain conditions a semi prime ring with a nonzero symmetric skew 3-reverse derivation has to be commutative.

# 2. Preliminaries:

Throughout the paper, R will represent a ring with a center Z and  $\alpha$  an anti automorphism R. Let  $n \ge 2$  be an integer. A ring R is said to be n-torsion free if for  $x \in R, nx = 0$  implies x = 0. For all  $x, y \in R$  the symbol [x, y] will denote the commutator xy - yx. Recall that a ring R is semi prime if xRx = 0 implies that x = 0. An additive map  $d: R \to R$  is called derivation if d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y), for all  $x, y \in R$ . An additive map  $d: R \to R$  is called reverse derivation if d(xy) = d(y)x + yd(x), for all  $x, y \in R$  and it is called a skew derivation ( $\alpha$ -derivation) of R associated with the automorphism or anti automorphism $\alpha$  if  $d(xy) = d(x)y + \alpha(x)d(y)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , and it is called a skew reverse derivation ( $\alpha$ -derivation) of R associated with automorphism or anti automorphism $\alpha$  if  $d(xy) = xd(y) + \alpha(y)d(x)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ .

Before starting our main theorem, let us gives some basic definitions and well known results which we will need in our further investigation.

Let *D* be a symmetric 3- additive map of *R*, then obviously D(-x, y, z) = -D(x, y, z), for all  $x, y, z \in R.(1)$ Namely, for all  $y, z \in R$ , the map  $D(., y, z): R \to R$  is an endomorphism of the additive group of *R*. The map  $\tau: R \to R$  defined by  $\tau(x) = D(x, x, x), x \in R$  is called trace of *D*. Note that  $\tau$  is not additive on *R*. But for all  $x, y \in R$ , we have  $\tau(y + x) = \tau(y) + \tau(x) + 3D(y, x, x) + 3D(x, y, y)$ Recall also that by (1), $\tau$  is odd function More precisely, for all  $x, y, z, u, v, w \in R$ , we have  $D(xu, y, z) = xD(u, y, z) + \alpha(u)D(x, y, z),$  $D(x, yv, z) = yD(x, v, z) + \alpha(v)D(x, y, z).$ 

Of course, if D is symmetric, then the above three relations are equivalent to each other. To prove the main theorem, first we prove the following lemma:

Lemma: Let *R* be a prime ring and  $a, b \in R$ . If [y, b]a = 0, for all  $y \in R$ , then either a = 0or  $b \in Z$ . Proof: We know that [xy,b]a = 0x[y,b]a + [x,b]ya = 0[x,b]ya = 0for all $x, y \in R$ . Thus  $[x,b]Ra = 0, x \in R$  and since *R* is prime, either a = 0 or  $b \in Z$ .

## 3. Main Result

**Theorem 1:** Let *R* be a noncommutative 3-torsion free semiprime ring, *I* be a nonzero twosided ideal of *R*,  $\alpha$  be an antiautomorphismof *R*, and  $D: R \times R \times R \to R$  be a symmetric skew 3reverse derivation associated with the anti automorphism  $\alpha$ . Suppose that the trace function  $\tau$  is commuting on *I* and  $[\tau(y), \alpha(y)] \in Z$  for all  $y \in I$ . Then  $[\tau(y), \alpha(y)] = 0$  for all  $y \in I$ .

**Proof:** Given that  $[\tau(y), \alpha(y)] \in Z$  for all  $y \in I.(1)$ By the linearizing (1), we get  $[\tau(y+x), \alpha(y+x)] \in Z$  $[(\tau(y) + \tau(x) + 3D(y,x,x) + 3D(x,y,y)), \alpha(y) + \alpha(x)] \in Z$  $[\tau(y), \alpha(x)] + [\tau(x), \alpha(y)] + [3D(y,x,x), \alpha(y)] + [3D(y,x,x), \alpha(x)] + [3D(x,y,y), \alpha(y)] + [3D(x,y,y), \alpha(x)] \in Z.$ (2)

Replace y by - y in (2) we get  $-[\tau(y), \alpha(x)] - [\tau(x), \alpha(y)] + [3D(y, x, x), \alpha(y)] - [3D(y, x, x), \alpha(x)] - [3D(x, y, y), \alpha(y)] + [3D(x, y, y), \alpha(x)] \in \mathbb{Z}.$ (3)

Now adding equation (2) & (3), we get  $\begin{bmatrix} D(y,x,x), \alpha(y) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} D(x,y,y), \alpha(x) \end{bmatrix} \in Z \text{ for all } x, y \in I.$ (4) Replacing y by (y + x) in equation (4) and using (1) and (4), we get  $\begin{bmatrix} D(y + x, x, x), \alpha(y + x) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} D(x, y + x, y + x), \alpha(x) \end{bmatrix} \in Z$   $\begin{bmatrix} D(y, x, x), \alpha(y) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} D(y, x, x), \alpha(x) \end{bmatrix} + [\tau(x), \alpha(y)] + [\tau(x), \alpha(x)] + \begin{bmatrix} D(x, y, y), \alpha(x) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} D(x, y, x), \alpha(x) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} D(x, x, y), \alpha(x) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \tau(x), \alpha(x) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} D(x, y, x), \alpha(x) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} T(x), \alpha(x) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} T(x), \alpha(x) \end{bmatrix} \in Z$  $\begin{bmatrix} \tau(x), \alpha(y) \end{bmatrix} + 3 \begin{bmatrix} D(y, x, x), \alpha(x) \end{bmatrix} \in Z \text{ for all } x, y \in I.$ (5)

Substituting y by yx in equation (5), we obtain  $\begin{bmatrix} \tau(x), \alpha(yx) \end{bmatrix} + 3\begin{bmatrix} D(yx, x, x), \alpha(x) \end{bmatrix} \in Z$   $\begin{bmatrix} T(x), \alpha(x)\alpha(y) \end{bmatrix} + 3\begin{bmatrix} (yD(x, x, x) + \alpha(x)D(y, x, x)), \alpha(x) \end{bmatrix} \in Z$   $\begin{bmatrix} \tau(x), \alpha(x) \end{bmatrix} \alpha(y) + \alpha(x) \begin{bmatrix} \tau(x), \alpha(y) \end{bmatrix} + 3\begin{bmatrix} (y\tau(x) + \alpha(x)D(y, x, x)), \alpha(x) \end{bmatrix} \in Z$   $\alpha(x) ([\tau(x), \alpha(y)] + 3[D(y, x, x), \alpha(x)]) + (\alpha(y) + 3y)[\tau(x), \alpha(x)] + 3[y, \alpha(x)]\tau(x) \in Z$ 

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [\alpha(x)([\tau(x), \alpha(y)] + 3[D(y, x, x), \alpha(x)]), \alpha(x)] + [(\alpha(y) + 3y)[\tau(x), \alpha(x)], \alpha(x)] \\ &+ 3[[y, \alpha(x)]\tau(x), \alpha(x)] \\ [(\alpha(y) + 6y), \alpha(x)][\tau(x), \alpha(x)] + 3[[y, \alpha(x)], \alpha(x)]\tau(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \end{aligned}$$
Replacing y by  $[\tau(x), \alpha(x)]\tau(x)$ , we obtain
$$0 &= \left[ \left( \alpha([\tau(x), \alpha(x)]\tau(x)) + 6[\tau(x), \alpha(x)]\tau(x) \right), \alpha(x) \right] [\tau(x), \alpha(x)] \\ &+ 3[[[\tau(x), \alpha(x)]\tau(x), \alpha(x)], \alpha(x)]\tau(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$0 &= ([\alpha(\tau(x)), \alpha[\tau(x), \alpha(x)], \alpha(x)] + 6[[\tau(x), \alpha(x)][\tau(x), \alpha(x)])[\tau(x), \alpha(x)] \\ &+ 3[[\tau(x), \alpha(x)][\tau(x), \alpha(x)], \alpha(x)]\tau(x) + [[\tau(x), \alpha(x)], \alpha(x)]\tau(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$0 &= [\alpha(\tau(x)), \alpha(x)]\alpha([\tau(x), \alpha(x)])[\tau(x), \alpha(x)] + 6[\tau(x), \alpha(x)]^3. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\tau$  is commuting on *I*, we have  $2[\tau(x), \alpha(x)]^3 = 0$ , for all  $x \in I$ . It follows that

 $(2[\tau(x), \alpha(x)]^2)R(2[\tau(x), \alpha(x)]^2) = 0.$ Since *R* is semiprime, we have  $2[\tau(x), \alpha(x)]^2 = 0, \text{ for all } x \in I.(6)$ On the other hand, taking  $y = x^2$  in equation (5), we get  $[\tau(x), \alpha(x^2)] + 3[D(x^2, x, x), \alpha(x)] \in Z.$  $[\tau(x), \alpha(x).\alpha(x)] + 3[(xD(x, x, x) + \alpha(x)D(x, x, x)), \alpha(x)] \in Z.$  $2\alpha(x)[\tau(x), \alpha(x)] + 3[x\tau(x) + \alpha(x)\tau(x), \alpha(x)] \in Z.$  $5\alpha(x)[\tau(x), \alpha(x)] + 3x[\tau(x), \alpha(x)] + 3[x, \alpha(x)]\tau(x) \in Z.$ 

Therefore,

 $\begin{array}{l} 0 = [\tau(x), 5\alpha(x)[\tau(x), \alpha(x)] + 3x[\tau(x), \alpha(x)] + 3[x, \alpha(x)]\tau(x)] \\ 0 = 5[\tau(x), \alpha(x)]^2 + 3[\tau(x), x][\tau(x), \alpha(x)] + 3[\tau(x), [x, \alpha(x)]]\tau(x) \\ 0 = 5[\tau(x), \alpha(x)]^2 + 3[\tau(x), x][\tau(x), \alpha(x)] + 3[\tau(x), [x\alpha(x) - \alpha(x)x]]\tau(x) \\ 0 = 5[\tau(x), \alpha(x)]^2 + 3[\tau(x), x][\tau(x), \alpha(x)] + 3(x[\tau(x), \alpha(x)] - [\tau(x), \alpha(x)]x)\tau(x) \\ 0 = 5[\tau(x), \alpha(x)]^2. \end{array}$ 

Since  $\tau$  is commuting on *I*, we get  $5[\tau(x), \alpha(x)]^2 = 0$ , for all  $x \in I.(7)$ Comparing equation (6) and equation (7), we get  $3[\tau(x), \alpha(x)]^2 = 0$ , for all  $x \in I$ . This implies  $[\tau(x), \alpha(x)]^2 = 0$ , for all  $x \in I$ . Note that zero is the only nilpotent element in the center of a semiprime ring. Thus  $[\tau(x), \alpha(x)] = 0$ , for all  $x \in I$ . This completes the proof.

**Corollary 1**: Let *R* be a 3!- torsion free prime ring, *I* be a nonzero two-sided ideal of *R*, and  $\alpha$  be an anti automorphism of *R*. Suppose that there exists a nonzero symmetric skew 3- reverse derivation  $D: R \times R \times R \to R$  associated with the antiautomorphism  $\alpha$  such that the trace function  $\tau$  is commuting on *I* and  $[\tau(y), \alpha(y)] \in Z$  for all  $y \in I$ . Then *R* is commutative.

**Proof:** Suppose that *R* is not commutative. Then, according to Theorem 1,  $[\tau(y), \alpha(y)] = 0$  for all  $x \in I$  and, by [3, Theorem 1], D = 0, a contradiction.

#### **References:**

- AjdaFosner: Prime and Semiprime rings withsymmetric skew 3-derivations, Aequat. Math.87(2014), 191-200.
- [2] Bresar. M. andVukman.J: On some additive mappings in rings with involution, Aequationes Math. 38(1989),178-185.
- [3] Jaya Subba Reddy .C: Prime ring with symmetric skew 3-revers derivation, International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Applications Research, Vol.4, Issue 6, Dec(2014),69-74.
- [4] Posner, E.C.: Derivations in prime rings. Proc . Am. Math.Soc.8,(1957),1093-1100).
- [5] Samman. M. and Alyamani.N,: Derivations and reverse derivations in semi prime rings, International Mathematical Forum, 2 (2007), No.39,1895-1902.
- [6] Vukman, J.: Symmetric bi-derivations on prime and semi –prime rings, Aequationes Math.38, (1989),245-254.
- [7] Vukman, J.: Two results concerning symmetric bi- derivations on prime rings. Aequationes Math.40,(1990),181-189.